UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,782	06/26/2003	Etsuo Oogami	040302-0327	4066
	7590 07/23/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIXI	HODGE, ROBERT W		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/603,782	OOGAMI, ETSUO		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	ROBERT HODGE	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Chapteriods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in complian	avit, or other evidence, w ce with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mai b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou nortened statutory period for reply o	nt of the fee. The appropria riginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see N v);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). ∑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ∑ 			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-13,18,22 and 23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		wiii be entered and an e.	xpianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	peal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
	/Robert Hodge/ Examiner, Art Unit 17	95	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to the new matter rejection, applicants fully admit that "the claim language is not present verbatim in the specification", remarks page 5, second sentence of the sixth full paragraph. Then applicants argue that a skilled artisan would understand that the "disclosed packing case defined "a fixed open space for housing the batteries"" and that because there is a space "S" present in figure 6 the packing case would have to be rigid. This is not persuasive for at least the reason that applicants have not shown that they had possession of the claim limitation in question at the time the invention was made. There is nothing in the specification showing that the case itself defines the spaces. In fact in figure 4, there is unlabeled additional structure shown around the space "S" to make the opening present and it is not the packing case that is providing the space as applicants recite in their claims as well as argue. The remainder of applicants' arguments are directed toward the amended claims, namely changing the scope from "battery cell" to "battery", which requires further consideration and/or search to determine patentability.